

# WEIGHTED INEQUALITIES FOR THE STRONG MAXIMAL FUNCTION

2CJI 2013 (SEVILLA)

Teresa Luque

Universidad de Sevilla

September 17th, 2013

# MAIN INGREDIENTS OF THIS TALK

- The strong maximal function.

# MAIN INGREDIENTS OF THIS TALK

- The strong maximal function.
- Boundedness properties of this operator in **weighted** spaces.

# MAIN INGREDIENTS OF THIS TALK

- The strong maximal function.
- Boundedness properties of this operator in **weighted** spaces.
- Conditions on the weights: Muckenhoupt weights, **bump** conditions, **tauberian** conditions.

# 1 MAXIMAL FUNCTIONS AND WEIGHTED NORM INEQUALITIES.

1 MAXIMAL FUNCTIONS AND WEIGHTED NORM INEQUALITIES.

2 TWO-WEIGHT PROBLEM

1 MAXIMAL FUNCTIONS AND WEIGHTED NORM INEQUALITIES.

2 TWO-WEIGHT PROBLEM

# MAXIMAL FUNCTIONS FOR CUBES AND RECTANGLES

- A basis  $\mathfrak{B}$  is a collection of open sets in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .



# MAXIMAL FUNCTIONS FOR CUBES AND RECTANGLES

- A basis  $\mathfrak{B}$  is a collection of open sets in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . The corresponding maximal operator is

The non-centered maximal function of  $f$  with respect to a basis  $\mathfrak{B}$

$$M_{\mathfrak{B}}f(x) := \sup_{\substack{B \in \mathfrak{B} \\ B \ni x}} \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |f(y)| dy.$$

# MAXIMAL FUNCTIONS FOR CUBES AND RECTANGLES

- A basis  $\mathfrak{B}$  is a collection of open sets in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . The corresponding maximal operator is

The non-centered maximal function of  $f$  with respect to a basis  $\mathfrak{B}$

$$M_{\mathfrak{B}}f(x) := \sup_{\substack{B \in \mathfrak{B} \\ B \ni x}} \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |f(y)| dy.$$

- The collection  $\mathfrak{Q}$  consists of all cubes in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with sides parallel to the coordinate axes.

# MAXIMAL FUNCTIONS FOR CUBES AND RECTANGLES

- A basis  $\mathfrak{B}$  is a collection of open sets in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . The corresponding maximal operator is

The non-centered maximal function of  $f$  with respect to a basis  $\mathfrak{B}$

$$M_{\mathfrak{B}}f(x) := \sup_{\substack{B \in \mathfrak{B} \\ B \ni x}} \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |f(y)| dy.$$

- The collection  $\mathfrak{Q}$  consists of all cubes in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with sides parallel to the coordinate axes.
- The collection  $\mathfrak{R}$  consists of all rectangles in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with sides parallel to the coordinate axes.

# MAXIMAL FUNCTIONS FOR CUBES AND RECTANGLES

- A basis  $\mathfrak{B}$  is a collection of open sets in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . The corresponding maximal operator is

The non-centered maximal function of  $f$  with respect to a basis  $\mathfrak{B}$

$$M_{\mathfrak{B}}f(x) := \sup_{\substack{B \in \mathfrak{B} \\ B \ni x}} \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |f(y)| dy.$$

- The collection  $\mathfrak{Q}$  consists of all cubes in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with sides parallel to the coordinate axes.
- The collection  $\mathfrak{R}$  consists of all **rectangles** in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with sides parallel to the coordinate axes.
- These two bases are quite different: The *Hardy-Littlewood maximal function*  $M$  is a one-parameter maximal function while *the strong maximal function*  $M_{\mathfrak{S}}$  is an  $n$ -parameter maximal operator.

# BOUNDEDNESS PROPERTIES

- The Hardy-Littlewood maximal theorem says that

$$|\{Mf > \lambda\}| \lesssim_n \frac{\|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}}{\lambda}, \quad \lambda > 0,$$
$$\|Mf\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim_{p,n} \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \quad 1 < p \leq \infty.$$

# BOUNDEDNESS PROPERTIES

- The Hardy-Littlewood maximal theorem says that

$$|\{Mf > \lambda\}| \lesssim_n \frac{\|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}}{\lambda}, \quad \lambda > 0,$$
$$\|Mf\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim_{p,n} \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \quad 1 < p \leq \infty.$$

- For  $M_s$  we have

$$M_s f \leq M_1 \circ \dots \circ M_n f.$$

By the Hardy-Littlewood maximal theorem we get the  $L^p$ -bounds ( $1 < p \leq \infty$ ) for the strong maximal function.

# BOUNDEDNESS PROPERTIES

- The Hardy-Littlewood maximal theorem says that

$$|\{Mf > \lambda\}| \lesssim_n \frac{\|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}}{\lambda}, \quad \lambda > 0,$$
$$\|Mf\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim_{p,n} \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \quad 1 < p \leq \infty.$$

- For  $M_s$  we have

$$M_s f \leq M_1 \circ \dots \circ M_n f.$$

By the Hardy-Littlewood maximal theorem we get the  $L^p$ -bounds ( $1 < p \leq \infty$ ) for the strong maximal function.

- A subtle use of  $M_s f(x) \leq M_1 \circ \dots \circ M_n f$  can also give the endpoint distribution inequality

$$|\{M_s f > \lambda\}| \lesssim_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|f|}{\lambda} \left(1 + \left(\log^+ \frac{|f|}{\lambda}\right)^{n-1}\right), \quad \lambda > 0$$

B. Jessen, J. Marcinkiewicz & A. Zygmund (1935).

- This operator *LOOKS at what happen* in each direction.



- This operator *LOOKS at what happen* in each direction.

$$M_s f \leq M_1 \circ \dots \circ M_n f$$

- This operator *LOOKS at what happen* in each direction.

$$M_s f \leq M_1 \circ \dots \circ M_n f$$

Boundedness properties  $\Leftrightarrow$  Covering properties:

# UNDERSTANDING THE STRONG MAXIMAL FUNCTION.

- This operator *LOOKS at what happen* in each direction.

$$M_s f \leq M_1 \circ \dots \circ M_n f$$

Boundedness properties  $\Leftrightarrow$  Covering properties:

- To understand the GEOMETRY OF RECTANGLES.

# UNDERSTANDING THE STRONG MAXIMAL FUNCTION.

- This operator *LOOKS at what happen* in each direction.

$$M_s f \leq M_1 \circ \dots \circ M_n f$$

Boundedness properties  $\Leftrightarrow$  Covering properties:

- To understand the GEOMETRY OF RECTANGLES.
  - Covering lemmas type Vitali doesn't work for rectangles.

- This operator *LOOKS at what happen* in each direction.

$$M_s f \leq M_1 \circ \dots \circ M_n f$$

Boundedness properties  $\Leftrightarrow$  Covering properties:

- To understand the GEOMETRY OF RECTANGLES.
  - Covering lemmas type Vitali doesn't work for rectangles.
  - The covering argument of A.Córdoba and R. Fefferman (1975).

- This operator *LOOKS at what happen* in each direction.

$$M_s f \leq M_1 \circ \dots \circ M_n f$$

Boundedness properties  $\Leftrightarrow$  Covering properties:

- To understand the GEOMETRY OF RECTANGLES.
  - Covering lemmas type Vitali doesn't work for rectangles.
  - The covering argument of A.Córdoba and R. Fefferman (1975).
  - This covering argument defines *good* rectangles that are still *good* rectangles in one dimension less.

- This operator *LOOKS at what happen* in each direction.

$$M_s f \leq M_1 \circ \dots \circ M_n f$$

Boundedness properties  $\Leftrightarrow$  Covering properties:

- To understand the GEOMETRY OF RECTANGLES.
  - Covering lemmas type Vitali doesn't work for rectangles.
  - The covering argument of A.Córdoba and R. Fefferman (1975).
  - This covering argument defines *good* rectangles that are still *good* rectangles in one dimension less.
  - This kind of induction argument emphasizes (AGAIN) the one-dimensional nature of this operator.

# MUCKENHOUP T WEIGHTS I

- A weight will be a non-negative, locally integrable function  $w$  such that  $0 < w(B) < +\infty$  for every  $B \in \mathfrak{B}$ .



# MUCKENHOUP T WEIGHTS I

- A weight will be a non-negative, locally integrable function  $w$  such that  $0 < w(B) < +\infty$  for every  $B \in \mathfrak{B}$ . The weight  $w$  belongs to the Muckenhoupt class  $A_{p,\mathfrak{B}}$  if

## MUCKENHOUP T WEIGHTS ASSOCIATED TO A BASIS $\mathfrak{B}$

$$[w]_{A_{p,\mathfrak{B}}} := \sup_{B \in \mathfrak{B}} \left( \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B w \right) \left( \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B w^{1-p'} \right)^{p-1} < +\infty, \quad 1 < p < +\infty$$

$$[w]_{A_{1,\mathfrak{B}}} := \inf \{ c > 0 : M_{\mathfrak{B}} w \leq cw \text{ almost everywhere} \} < +\infty.$$

The classes  $A_{p,\mathfrak{B}}$  are increasing with  $p$ . We set  $A_{\infty,\mathfrak{B}} := \bigcup_{p>1} A_{p,\mathfrak{B}}$ .

# MUCKENHOUP T WEIGHTS I

- A weight will be a non-negative, locally integrable function  $w$  such that  $0 < w(B) < +\infty$  for every  $B \in \mathfrak{B}$ . The weight  $w$  belongs to the Muckenhoupt class  $A_{p,\mathfrak{B}}$  if

## MUCKENHOUP T WEIGHTS ASSOCIATED TO A BASIS $\mathfrak{B}$

$$[w]_{A_{p,\mathfrak{B}}} := \sup_{B \in \mathfrak{B}} \left( \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B w \right) \left( \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B w^{1-p'} \right)^{p-1} < +\infty, \quad 1 < p < +\infty$$

$$[w]_{A_{1,\mathfrak{B}}} := \inf \{ c > 0 : M_{\mathfrak{B}} w \leq cw \text{ almost everywhere} \} < +\infty.$$

The classes  $A_{p,\mathfrak{B}}$  are increasing with  $p$ . We set  $A_{\infty,\mathfrak{B}} := \bigcup_{p>1} A_{p,\mathfrak{B}}$ .

- For simplicity set  $A_p := A_{p,\Omega}$  and  $A_p^* := A_{p,\mathfrak{A}} =$  “strong  $A_p$ -weights.”

- If  $\mathfrak{B} = \mathfrak{Q}$  or  $\mathfrak{R}$  and  $1 < p \leq \infty$  then

# MUCKENHOUP WEIGHTS II

- If  $\mathfrak{B} = \mathfrak{Q}$  or  $\mathfrak{R}$  and  $1 < p \leq \infty$  then

$$M_{\mathfrak{B}} : L^p(w) \rightarrow L^p(w) \Leftrightarrow w \in A_{p,\mathfrak{B}}.$$

- If  $\mathfrak{B} = \mathfrak{Q}$  or  $\mathfrak{R}$  and  $1 < p \leq \infty$  then

$$M_{\mathfrak{B}} : L^p(w) \rightarrow L^p(w) \Leftrightarrow w \in A_{p,\mathfrak{B}}.$$

B. Muckenhoupt (1972) and R. J. Bagby & D. S Kurtz (1985).

# MUCKENHOUP T WEIGHTS II

- If  $\mathfrak{B} = \mathfrak{Q}$  or  $\mathfrak{R}$  and  $1 < p \leq \infty$  then

$$M_{\mathfrak{B}} : L^p(w) \rightarrow L^p(w) \Leftrightarrow w \in A_{p,\mathfrak{B}}.$$

B. Muckenhoupt (1972) and R. J. Bagby & D. S Kurtz (1985).

- $w \in A_p^*$  if and only if

- If  $\mathfrak{B} = \mathfrak{Q}$  or  $\mathfrak{R}$  and  $1 < p \leq \infty$  then

$$M_{\mathfrak{B}} : L^p(w) \rightarrow L^p(w) \Leftrightarrow w \in A_{p,\mathfrak{B}}.$$

B. Muckenhoupt (1972) and R. J. Bagby & D. S Kurtz (1985).

- $w \in A_p^*$  if and only if  $w(x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, \cdot, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_n) \in A_p$  uniformly in  $(x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_n)$ .

- If  $\mathfrak{B} = \mathfrak{Q}$  or  $\mathfrak{R}$  and  $1 < p \leq \infty$  then

$$M_{\mathfrak{B}} : L^p(w) \rightarrow L^p(w) \Leftrightarrow w \in A_{p,\mathfrak{B}}.$$

B. Muckenhoupt (1972) and R. J. Bagby & D. S Kurtz (1985).

- $w \in A_p^*$  if and only if  $w(x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, \cdot, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_n) \in A_p$  uniformly in  $(x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_n)$ . D. S. Kurtz (1980).



1 MAXIMAL FUNCTIONS AND WEIGHTED NORM INEQUALITIES.

2 TWO-WEIGHT PROBLEM

# TWO WEIGHT PROBLEM

## PROBLEM

Given  $p$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ , determine those pairs of weights on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $(u, v)$ , for which  $M_s$  is of strong type  $(p, p)$  with respect to the pair of measures  $(u(x)dx, v(x)dx)$ , that is, for which we have the inequality:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (M_s f)^p u \lesssim_{n,p,u,v} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f|^p v, \quad 1 < p \leq +\infty.$$

# TWO WEIGHT PROBLEM

## PROBLEM

Given  $p$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ , determine those pairs of weights on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $(u, v)$ , for which  $M_s$  is of strong type  $(p, p)$  with respect to the pair of measures  $(u(x)dx, v(x)dx)$ , that is, for which we have the inequality:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (M_s f)^p u \lesssim_{n,p,u,v} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f|^p v, \quad 1 < p \leq +\infty.$$

- This problem is already solve for a general basis  $\mathcal{B}$  in terms of a **testing condition**.

$$\int_G M_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbf{1}_G \sigma)^p u \leq c \sigma(G),$$

where  $\sigma = v^{1-p'}$  and  $M_{\mathcal{B}}^{\sigma} : L^p(\sigma) \rightarrow L^p(\sigma)$ .

# TWO WEIGHT PROBLEM

## PROBLEM

Given  $p$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ , determine those pairs of weights on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $(u, v)$ , for which  $M_s$  is of strong type  $(p, p)$  with respect to the pair of measures  $(u(x)dx, v(x)dx)$ , that is, for which we have the inequality:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (M_s f)^p u \lesssim_{n,p,u,v} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f|^p v, \quad 1 < p \leq +\infty.$$

- This problem is already solve for a general basis  $\mathcal{B}$  in terms of a **testing condition**.

$$\int_G M_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbf{1}_G \sigma)^p u \leq c \sigma(G),$$

where  $\sigma = v^{1-p'}$  and  $M_{\mathcal{B}}^\sigma : L^p(\sigma) \rightarrow L^p(\sigma)$ . E. Sawyer (1982) and B. Jawerth(1986).

# TWO WEIGHT PROBLEM

## PROBLEM

Given  $p$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ , determine those pairs of weights on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $(u, v)$ , for which  $M_s$  is of strong type  $(p, p)$  with respect to the pair of measures  $(u(x)dx, v(x)dx)$ , that is, for which we have the inequality:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (M_s f)^p u \lesssim_{n,p,u,v} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f|^p v, \quad 1 < p \leq +\infty.$$

- This problem is already solve for a general basis  $\mathcal{B}$  in terms of a **testing condition**.

$$\int_G M_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbf{1}_G \sigma)^p u \leq c \sigma(G),$$

where  $\sigma = v^{1-p'}$  and  $M_{\mathcal{B}}^\sigma : L^p(\sigma) \rightarrow L^p(\sigma)$ . E. Sawyer (1982) and B. Jawerth(1986).

- These kind of conditions involves the operator itself.

# TWO WEIGHT PROBLEM FOR $M$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (Mf)^p u \lesssim_{n,p,u,v} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f|^p v, \quad 1 < p \leq +\infty.$$

The *natural* two weight  $A_p$  condition

$$\sup_Q \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q u \right) \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q v^{1-p'} \right)^{p-1} < +\infty.$$

# TWO WEIGHT PROBLEM FOR $M$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (Mf)^p u \lesssim_{n,p,u,v} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f|^p v, \quad 1 < p \leq +\infty.$$

The *natural* two weight  $A_p$  condition

$$\sup_Q \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q u \right) \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q v^{1-p'} \right)^{p-1} < +\infty.$$

is **NECESSARY** but **NOT SUFFICIENT**. However

$$(u, v) \in A_p \iff M : L^p(v) \longrightarrow L^{p,\infty}(u)$$

# TWO WEIGHT PROBLEM FOR $M$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (Mf)^p u \lesssim_{n,p,u,v} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f|^p v, \quad 1 < p \leq +\infty.$$

The *natural* two weight  $A_p$  condition

$$\sup_Q \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q u \right) \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q v^{1-p'} \right)^{p-1} < +\infty.$$

is **NECESSARY** but **NOT SUFFICIENT**. However

$$(u, v) \in A_p \iff M : L^p(v) \longrightarrow L^{p,\infty}(u)$$



# TWO WEIGHT PROBLEM FOR $M$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (Mf)^p u \lesssim_{n,p,u,v} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f|^p v, \quad 1 < p \leq +\infty.$$

The *natural* two weight  $A_p$  condition

$$\sup_Q \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q u \right) \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q v^{1-p'} \right)^{p-1} < +\infty.$$

is **NECESSARY** but **NOT SUFFICIENT**. However

$$(u, v) \in A_p \iff M : L^p(v) \longrightarrow L^{p,\infty}(u)$$

GOAL: to obtain **sufficient** condition **SIMILAR** in form to the  $A_p$  condition.

CONDITION  $A_{p,r}$

$$\sup_Q \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q u \, dx \right) \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q v^{r(1-p')} \, dx \right)^{\frac{p-1}{r}} < \infty, \quad r > 1.$$

# FIRST ATTEMPT FOR M

CONDITION  $A_{p,r}$

$$\sup_Q \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q u \, dx \right) \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q v^{r(1-p')} \, dx \right)^{\frac{p-1}{r}} < \infty, \quad r > 1.$$

Proof (sketch): since  $r > 1$  and by definition, there is a small  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $(u, v) \in A_{p-\varepsilon}$  (i.e. the [open property](#)).

## CONDITION $A_{p,r}$

$$\sup_Q \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q u \, dx \right) \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q v^{r(1-p')} \, dx \right)^{\frac{p-1}{r}} < \infty, \quad r > 1.$$

Proof (sketch): since  $r > 1$  and by definition, there is a small  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $(u, v) \in A_{p-\varepsilon}$  (i.e. the [open property](#)).

Hence by the weak type characterization:

## CONDITION $A_{p,r}$

$$\sup_Q \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q u \, dx \right) \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q v^{r(1-p')} \, dx \right)^{\frac{p-1}{r}} < \infty, \quad r > 1.$$

Proof (sketch): since  $r > 1$  and by definition, there is a small  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $(u, v) \in A_{p-\varepsilon}$  (i.e. the [open property](#)).

Hence by the weak type characterization:

$$M : L^{p-\varepsilon}(v) \longrightarrow L^{p-\varepsilon, \infty}(u)$$

## CONDITION $A_{p,r}$

$$\sup_Q \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q u \, dx \right) \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q v^{r(1-p')} \, dx \right)^{\frac{p-1}{r}} < \infty, \quad r > 1.$$

Proof (sketch): since  $r > 1$  and by definition, there is a small  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $(u, v) \in A_{p-\varepsilon}$  (i.e. the [open property](#)).

Hence by the weak type characterization:

$$M : L^{p-\varepsilon}(v) \longrightarrow L^{p-\varepsilon, \infty}(u)$$

and we can finish using the Marcinkiewicz interpolation theorem.

# RENORMALIZING THE PROBLEM

We renormalize the problem as follows

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |uMf|^p dx \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |vf|^p dx$$

# RENORMALIZING THE PROBLEM

We renormalize the problem as follows

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |uMf|^p dx \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |vf|^p dx$$

and the (renormalized)  $A_p$  condition is rewritten as follows:

$$\sup_Q \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q u^p dx \right)^{1/p} \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q v^{-p'} dx \right)^{1/p'} < \infty$$

or



# RENORMALIZING THE PROBLEM

We renormalize the problem as follows

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |uMf|^p dx \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |vf|^p dx$$

and the (renormalized)  $A_p$  condition is rewritten as follows:

$$\sup_Q \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q u^p dx \right)^{1/p} \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q v^{-p'} dx \right)^{1/p'} < \infty$$

or

$$\sup_Q \|u\|_{L^p, Q} \|v^{-1}\|_{L^{p'}, Q} < \infty.$$

# BUMP CONDITIONS I

GOAL: To obtain a **SUFFICIENT** condition **CLOSE** in form to  $A_p$ .

# BUMP CONDITIONS I

GOAL: To obtain a **SUFFICIENT** condition **CLOSE** in form to  $A_p$ . One way is to replace the  $L^p$  norm by **bumps**.

# BUMP CONDITIONS I

GOAL: To obtain a **SUFFICIENT** condition **CLOSE** in form to  $A_p$ . One way is to replace the  $L^p$  norm by **bumps**.

- The (normalized)  $A_{p,r}$  is a power bump condition

$$\sup_Q \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q u^p dx \right)^{1/p} \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q v^{-rp'} dx \right)^{1/rp'} < \infty.$$

or

# BUMP CONDITIONS I

GOAL: To obtain a **SUFFICIENT** condition **CLOSE** in form to  $A_p$ . One way is to replace the  $L^p$  norm by **bumps**.

- The (normalized)  $A_{p,r}$  is a power bump condition

$$\sup_Q \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q u^p dx \right)^{1/p} \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q v^{-rp'} dx \right)^{1/rp'} < \infty.$$

or

$$\sup_Q \|u\|_{L^p, Q} \|v^{-1}\|_{L^{rp', Q}} < \infty.$$

# BUMP CONDITIONS II

- Generalization: Orlicz bump condition

## ORLICZ NORM

Given a Young function (continuous, convex, strictly increasing)  $B$  we can define the following *localized* norm:

$$\|f\|_{B,Q} = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q B \left( \frac{|f|}{\lambda} \right) dx \leq 1 \right\}$$

# BUMP CONDITIONS II

- Generalization: Orlicz bump condition

## ORLICZ NORM

Given a Young function (continuous, convex, strictly increasing)  $B$  we can define the following *localized* norm:

$$\|f\|_{B,Q} = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q B \left( \frac{|f|}{\lambda} \right) dx \leq 1 \right\}$$

- Examples:  $p > 0, \alpha > 0$ :  $B(t) = t^p$  or  $B(t) = t^p(1 + \log^+ t)^\alpha$

# BUMP CONDITIONS II

- Generalization: Orlicz bump condition

## ORLICZ NORM

Given a Young function (continuous, convex, strictly increasing)  $B$  we can define the following *localized* norm:

$$\|f\|_{B,Q} = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q B \left( \frac{|f|}{\lambda} \right) dx \leq 1 \right\}$$

- Examples:  $p > 0, \alpha > 0$ :  $B(t) = t^p$  or  $B(t) = t^p(1 + \log^+ t)^\alpha$
- The logarithmic bump condition is very close to the  $L^p$  norm as  $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ .



# BUMP CONDITIONS II

- Generalization: Orlicz bump condition

## ORLICZ NORM

Given a Young function (continuous, convex, strictly increasing)  $B$  we can define the following *localized* norm:

$$\|f\|_{B,Q} = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q B \left( \frac{|f|}{\lambda} \right) dx \leq 1 \right\}$$

- Examples:  $p > 0, \alpha > 0$ :  $B(t) = t^p$  or  $B(t) = t^p(1 + \log^+ t)^\alpha$
- The logarithmic bump condition is very close to the  $L^p$  norm as  $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ .

$$\|w\|_{L^p,Q} \leq \|w\|_{L^p(\log L)^\alpha,Q} \leq c \|w\|_{L^{pr},Q} \quad r > 1, \alpha > 0$$

# BUMP CONDITION III

- We were looking for a stronger condition than the  $A_p$

$$\sup_Q \|u\|_{L^{p,Q}} \|v^{-1}\|_{L^{p',Q}} < \infty.$$

# BUMP CONDITION III

- We were looking for a stronger condition than the  $A_p$

$$\sup_Q \|u\|_{L^{p,Q}} \|v^{-1}\|_{L^{p',Q}} < \infty.$$

$$\sup_Q \|u\|_{L^{p,Q}} \|v^{-1}\|_{B,Q} < \infty.$$

# BUMP CONDITION III

- We were looking for a stronger condition than the  $A_p$

$$\sup_Q \|u\|_{L^{p,Q}} \|v^{-1}\|_{L^{p',Q}} < \infty.$$

$$\sup_Q \|u\|_{L^{p,Q}} \|v^{-1}\|_{B,Q} < \infty.$$

where  $B(t) \gtrsim t^{p'}$ .

# BUMP CONDITION III

- We were looking for a stronger condition than the  $A_p$

$$\sup_Q \|u\|_{L^{p,Q}} \|v^{-1}\|_{L^{p',Q}} < \infty.$$

$$\sup_Q \|u\|_{L^{p,Q}} \|v^{-1}\|_{B,Q} < \infty.$$

where  $B(t) \gtrsim t^{p'}$ .

We can state this last condition in a very nice way:

$$\int_c^\infty \frac{\overline{B}(t)}{t^p} \frac{dt}{t} < \infty$$

# BUMP CONDITION III

- We were looking for a stronger condition than the  $A_p$

$$\sup_Q \|u\|_{L^{p,Q}} \|v^{-1}\|_{L^{p',Q}} < \infty.$$

$$\sup_Q \|u\|_{L^{p,Q}} \|v^{-1}\|_{B,Q} < \infty.$$

where  $B(t) \gtrsim t^{p'}$ .

We can state this last condition in a very nice way:

$$\int_c^\infty \frac{\overline{B}(t)}{t^p} \frac{dt}{t} < \infty$$

where  $\overline{B}$  is the complementary of Young function  $B$ .

# THE SHARP BUMP CONDITION FOR $M$

## THEOREM (C.PEREZ, 1995)

Let  $1 < p < \infty$ , and let  $B$  a *doubling* Young function such that the complementary Young function  $\overline{B}$  satisfies the condition

# THE SHARP BUMP CONDITION FOR M

## THEOREM (C.PEREZ, 1995)

Let  $1 < p < \infty$ , and let  $B$  a **doubling** Young function such that the complementary Young function  $\bar{B}$  satisfies the condition

$$\int_c^\infty \frac{\bar{B}(t)}{t^p} \frac{dt}{t} < \infty.$$



# THE SHARP BUMP CONDITION FOR M

## THEOREM (C.PEREZ, 1995)

Let  $1 < p < \infty$ , and let  $B$  a **doubling** Young function such that the complementary Young function  $\bar{B}$  satisfies the condition

$$\int_c^\infty \frac{\bar{B}(t)}{t^p} \frac{dt}{t} < \infty.$$

Let  $(u, v)$  be a couple of weights such that

$$\sup_Q \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q u^p \right)^{1/p} \|v^{-1}\|_{B,Q} \leq K,$$

# THE SHARP BUMP CONDITION FOR M

## THEOREM (C.PEREZ, 1995)

Let  $1 < p < \infty$ , and let  $B$  a **doubling** Young function such that the complementary Young function  $\bar{B}$  satisfies the condition

$$\int_c^\infty \frac{\bar{B}(t)}{t^p} \frac{dt}{t} < \infty.$$

Let  $(u, v)$  be a couple of weights such that

$$\sup_Q \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q u^p \right)^{1/p} \|v^{-1}\|_{B,Q} \leq K,$$

Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (uMf)^p dx \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (vf)^p dx.$$

# THE SHARP BUMP CONDITION FOR $M$

## THEOREM (C.PEREZ, 1995)

Let  $1 < p < \infty$ , and let  $B$  a Young function such that the complementary Young function  $\bar{B}$  satisfies the condition

$$\int_c^\infty \frac{\bar{B}(t)}{t^p} \frac{dt}{t} < \infty.$$

Let  $(u, v)$  be a couple of weights such that

$$\sup_Q \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q u^p \right)^{1/p} \|v^{-1}\|_{B,Q} \leq K,$$

Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (uMf)^p dx \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (vf)^p dx.$$

Key idea for the proof: to understand the  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  **behavior** of the following **ORLICZ MAXIMAL FUNCTION**:

Key idea for the proof: to understand the  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  **behavior** of the following **ORLICZ MAXIMAL FUNCTION**:

$$M_B f(x) = \sup_{x \in Q} \|f\|_{B,Q}$$

Key idea for the proof: to understand the  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  **behavior** of the following **ORLICZ MAXIMAL FUNCTION**:

$$M_B f(x) = \sup_{x \in Q} \|f\|_{B,Q}$$

$$\int_c^\infty \frac{B(t)}{t^p} \frac{dt}{t} < \infty \iff M_B : L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \longrightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad 1 < p < \infty.$$

Key idea for the proof: to understand the  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  **behavior** of the following **ORLICZ MAXIMAL FUNCTION**:

$$M_B f(x) = \sup_{x \in Q} \|f\|_{B,Q}$$

$$\int_c^\infty \frac{B(t)}{t^p} \frac{dt}{t} < \infty \iff M_B : L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \longrightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad 1 < p < \infty.$$

Key estimate:

$$|\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : M_B f(x) > \lambda\}| \lesssim_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} B\left(\frac{f(x)}{\lambda}\right) dx, \quad \lambda > 0.$$

Key idea for the proof: to understand the  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  **behavior** of the following **ORLICZ MAXIMAL FUNCTION**:

$$M_B f(x) = \sup_{x \in Q} \|f\|_{B,Q}$$

$$\int_c^\infty \frac{B(t)}{t^p} \frac{dt}{t} < \infty \iff M_B : L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \longrightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad 1 < p < \infty.$$

Key estimate:

$$|\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : M_B f(x) > \lambda\}| \lesssim_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} B\left(\frac{f(x)}{\lambda}\right) dx, \quad \lambda > 0.$$

$$|\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \mathbf{M}_{s,B} f(x) > \lambda\}| \lesssim_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi_n\left(B\left(\frac{f(x)}{\lambda}\right)\right) dx, \quad \lambda > 0,$$

where  $\Phi_n(t) := t[1 + (\log^+ t)^{n-1}]$ .



# TWO WEIGHT PROBLEM FOR $M_s$

## THEOREM (L.LIU, T.L.)

*Let  $1 < p < \infty$ , and let  $B$  a Young function such that the complementary Young function  $\bar{B}$  satisfies the condition*

# TWO WEIGHT PROBLEM FOR $M_s$

## THEOREM (L.LIU, T.L.)

Let  $1 < p < \infty$ , and let  $B$  a Young function such that the complementary Young function  $\bar{B}$  satisfies the condition

$$\int_c^\infty \frac{\Phi_n(\bar{B}(t))}{t^p} \frac{dt}{t} < \infty,$$

where  $\Phi_n(t) := t[1 + (\log^+ t)^{n-1}]$  for all  $t > 0$  and  $\log^+ t = \max\{\log t, t\}$ .

# TWO WEIGHT PROBLEM FOR $M_s$

## THEOREM (L.LIU, T.L.)

Let  $1 < p < \infty$ , and let  $B$  a Young function such that the complementary Young function  $\bar{B}$  satisfies the condition

$$\int_c^\infty \frac{\Phi_n(\bar{B}(t))}{t^p} \frac{dt}{t} < \infty,$$

where  $\Phi_n(t) := t[1 + (\log^+ t)^{n-1}]$  for all  $t > 0$  and  $\log^+ t = \max\{\log t, t\}$ .  
Let  $(u, v)$  be a couple of weights such that  $u^p \in A_{\infty}^*$  and

$$\sup_R \left( \frac{1}{|R|} \int_R u^p \right)^{1/p} \|v^{-1}\|_{B,R} \leq K,$$

# TWO WEIGHT PROBLEM FOR $M_S$

## THEOREM (L.LIU, T.L.)

Let  $1 < p < \infty$ , and let  $B$  a Young function such that the complementary Young function  $\bar{B}$  satisfies the condition

$$\int_c^\infty \frac{\Phi_n(\bar{B}(t))}{t^p} \frac{dt}{t} < \infty,$$

where  $\Phi_n(t) := t[1 + (\log^+ t)^{n-1}]$  for all  $t > 0$  and  $\log^+ t = \max\{\log t, t\}$ .  
Let  $(u, v)$  be a couple of weights such that  $u^p \in A_{\infty}^*$  and

$$\sup_R \left( \frac{1}{|R|} \int_R u^p \right)^{1/p} \|v^{-1}\|_{B,R} \leq K,$$

Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (uM_S f)^p dx \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (vf)^p dx.$$

**THANK YOU VERY MUCH!!**