

ATOMIC BLOCKS FOR MARTINGALES

José Manuel Conde Alonso

Work in progress with Javier Parcet

Instituto de Ciencias Matemáticas - Universidad Autónoma de Madrid

Segundo Congreso de Jóvenes Investigadores de la RSME, September 16-20 2013

September 18, 2013

- Setting: (Ω, Σ, μ) probability space, $\{\Sigma_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ filtration with associated conditional expectations $\{E_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$.

- Setting: (Ω, Σ, μ) probability space, $\{\Sigma_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ filtration with associated conditional expectations $\{E_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$.
- Martingale H_1 space: subspace of L_1 with the norm

$$\|f\|_{H_1} := \left\| \left(\sum_k |df_k|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_1.$$

- Setting: (Ω, Σ, μ) probability space, $\{\Sigma_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ filtration with associated conditional expectations $\{E_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$.
- Martingale H_1 space: subspace of L_1 with the norm

$$\|f\|_{H_1} := \left\| \left(\sum_k |df_k|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_1.$$

- Martingale BMO space: subspace of L_2 with the norm

$$\|f\|_{\text{BMO}} = \sup_k \left\| E_k |f - E_{k-1}f|^2 \right\|_{\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

- Setting: (Ω, Σ, μ) probability space, $\{\Sigma_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ filtration with associated conditional expectations $\{E_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$.
- Martingale H_1 space: subspace of L_1 with the norm

$$\|f\|_{H_1} := \left\| \left(\sum_k |df_k|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_1.$$

- Martingale BMO space: subspace of L_2 with the norm

$$\|f\|_{\text{BMO}} = \sup_k \left\| E_k |f - E_{k-1}f|^2 \right\|_{\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

- $(H_1)^* = \text{BMO}$.

ATOMS

- A function a is called a **classical p -atom** ($1 < p \leq \infty$) if:
 - ① $\exists k : E_k(a) = 0, \text{supp}(a) \subseteq A \in \Sigma_k.$
 - ② $\|a\|_p \leq \mu(A)^{-1/p'}$.

ATOMS

- A function a is called a **classical p -atom** ($1 < p \leq \infty$) if:
 - ① $\exists k : E_k(a) = 0, \text{supp}(a) \subseteq A \in \Sigma_k.$
 - ② $\|a\|_p \leq \mu(A)^{-1/p'}$.
- $h_1^{\text{at}} = \{f = \sum_j \lambda_j a_j : a_j \text{ is an atom}\}$, equipped with the norm

$$\|f\|_{h_1^{\text{at}}} = \inf_{f = \sum_j \lambda_j a_j} \sum_j |\lambda_j|.$$

ATOMS

- A function a is called a **classical p -atom** ($1 < p \leq \infty$) if:
 - ① $\exists k : E_k(a) = 0, \text{supp}(a) \subseteq A \in \Sigma_k$.
 - ② $\|a\|_p \leq \mu(A)^{-1/p'}$.
- $h_1^{\text{at}} = \{f = \sum_j \lambda_j a_j : a_j \text{ is an atom}\}$, equipped with the norm

$$\|f\|_{h_1^{\text{at}}} = \inf_{f = \sum_j \lambda_j a_j} \sum_j |\lambda_j|.$$

THEOREM

$h_1 = h_1^{\text{at}}$, where h_1 is the subspace of L_1 of functions f such that

$$\|f\|_{h_1} := \left\| \left(\sum_k E_{k-1} |df_k|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_1 < \infty$$

- $(h_1)^* = \text{bmo}^c$,

- $(h_1)^* = \text{bmo}^c$, where

$$\|f\|_{\text{bmo}^c} = \sup_k \left\| E_k |f - E_k f|^2 \right\|_{\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

- $(h_1)^* = \text{bmo}^c$, where

$$\|f\|_{\text{bmo}^c} = \sup_k \left\| E_k |f - E_k f|^2 \right\|_{\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

- In general $h_1 \subsetneq H_1$.

- $(h_1)^* = \text{bmo}^c$, where

$$\|f\|_{\text{bmo}^c} = \sup_k \left\| E_k |f - E_k f|^2 \right\|_{\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

- In general $h_1 \subsetneq H_1$. In fact, we have

$$\|f\|_{\text{BMO}} \sim \max \{ \|f\|_{\text{bmo}^c}, \|f\|_{\text{bmo}^d} \}$$

where $\|f\|_{\text{bmo}^d} = \sup_k \|df_k\|_{\infty}$.

- $(h_1)^* = \text{bmo}^c$, where

$$\|f\|_{\text{bmo}^c} = \sup_k \left\| E_k |f - E_k f|^2 \right\|_{\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

- In general $h_1 \subsetneq H_1$. In fact, we have

$$\|f\|_{\text{BMO}} \sim \max \{ \|f\|_{\text{bmo}^c}, \|f\|_{\text{bmo}^d} \}$$

where $\|f\|_{\text{bmo}^d} = \sup_k \|df_k\|_{\infty}$.

- Question: Is there an atomic description of H_1 ?

- $(h_1)^* = \text{bmo}^c$, where

$$\|f\|_{\text{bmo}^c} = \sup_k \left\| E_k |f - E_k f|^2 \right\|_{\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

- In general $h_1 \subsetneq H_1$. In fact, we have

$$\|f\|_{\text{BMO}} \sim \max \{ \|f\|_{\text{bmo}^c}, \|f\|_{\text{bmo}^d} \}$$

where $\|f\|_{\text{bmo}^d} = \sup_k \|df_k\|_{\infty}$.

- Question: Is there an atomic description of H_1 ?
- Answer: No, if we only consider classical atoms.

ATOMIC BLOCKS

DEFINITION

Let b be Σ -measurable. It is called a **(p)-atomic block** if:

- ① $\exists k : E_k(b) = 0, \text{supp}(b) \subseteq B \in \Sigma_k.$
- ② $b = \sum_j \lambda_j a_j, \lambda_j$ scalar.
- ③ Each **subatom** a_j satisfies the following properties:
 - $\exists k_j \geq k : \text{supp}(a_j) \subseteq A_j \subseteq B, A_j \in \Sigma_{k_j}.$
 -

$$\|a_j\|_p \leq \frac{1}{\mu(A_j)^{1/p'}} \frac{1}{k_j - k + 1}.$$

Set also

$$|b|_{H_{1,p}^{\text{atb}}} = \inf_{b = \sum \lambda_j a_j} \sum_j |\lambda_j|.$$

We define

$$H_{1,p}^{\text{atb}} = \left\{ f \in L_1(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu) : f = \sum_i b_i, \text{ each } b_i \text{ is a } p\text{-atomic block} \right\}.$$

We define

$$H_{1,p}^{\text{atb}} = \left\{ f \in L_1(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu) : f = \sum_i b_i, \text{ each } b_i \text{ is a } p\text{-atomic block} \right\}.$$

equipped with the norm

$$\|f\|_{H_{1,p}^{\text{atb}}} := \inf_{\substack{f = \sum_i b_i, \\ b_i = \sum_j \lambda_{ij} a_{ij}}} \sum_i |b_i|_{H_{1,p}^{\text{atb}}} = \inf_{\substack{f = \sum_i b_i, \\ b_i = \sum_j \lambda_{ij} a_{ij}}} \sum_{i,j} |\lambda_{ij}|.$$

MAIN RESULT

THEOREM (C., PARCET)

$$H_{1,p}^{\text{atb}} = H_1$$

with equivalent norms.

SKETCH OF PROOF

By duality, we show

$$(H_{1,p}^{\text{atb}})^* = \text{BMO}.$$

SKETCH OF PROOF

By duality, we show

$$(H_{1,p}^{\text{atb}})^* = \text{BMO}.$$

First inclusion: $\text{BMO} \subseteq (H_{1,p}^{\text{atb}})^*$. Given f , define $L_f(b) := E(bf)$.

$$\left| \int b f d\mu \right| = \left| \int b(f - E_k f) d\mu \right|$$

SKETCH OF PROOF

By duality, we show

$$(H_{1,p}^{\text{atb}})^* = \text{BMO}.$$

First inclusion: $\text{BMO} \subseteq (H_{1,p}^{\text{atb}})^*$. Given f , define $L_f(b) := E(bf)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int b f d\mu \right| &= \left| \int b(f - E_k f) d\mu \right| \\ &\leq \sum_j |\lambda_j| \left| \int a_j(f - E_k f) d\mu \right| \\ &=: \sum_j |\lambda_j| l_j. \end{aligned}$$

$$I_j \leq \|a_j\|_p \left(\int_{A_j} |f - E_k f|^{p'} d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}}$$

$$\begin{aligned} I_j &\leq \|a_j\|_p \left(\int_{A_j} |f - E_k f|^{p'} d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{k_j - k + 1} \frac{1}{\mu(A_j)^{1/p'}} \left(\int_{A_j} |f - E_k f|^{p'} d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
l_j &\leq \|a_j\|_p \left(\int_{A_j} |f - E_k f|^{p'} d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{k_j - k + 1} \frac{1}{\mu(A_j)^{1/p'}} \left(\int_{A_j} |f - E_k f|^{p'} d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \\
&\leq \left(\int_{A_j} |f - E_{k_j} f|^{p'} d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} + \frac{1}{k_j - k + 1} \sum_{l=k+1}^{k_j} \|E_l f - E_{l-1} f\|_\infty
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
I_j &\leq \|a_j\|_p \left(\int_{A_j} |f - E_k f|^{p'} d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{k_j - k + 1} \frac{1}{\mu(A_j)^{1/p'}} \left(\int_{A_j} |f - E_k f|^{p'} d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \\
&\leq \left(\int_{A_j} |f - E_{k_j} f|^{p'} d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} + \frac{1}{k_j - k + 1} \sum_{l=k+1}^{k_j} \|E_l f - E_{l-1} f\|_\infty \\
&\leq \left(\int_{A_j} E_{k_j} |f - E_{k_j} f|^{p'} d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} + \frac{1}{k_j - k + 1} \sum_{l=k+1}^{k_j} \|f\|_{\text{bmo}^d}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_j &\leq \|a_j\|_p \left(\int_{A_j} |f - E_k f|^{p'} d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{k_j - k + 1} \frac{1}{\mu(A_j)^{1/p'}} \left(\int_{A_j} |f - E_k f|^{p'} d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \\
 &\leq \left(\int_{A_j} |f - E_{k_j} f|^{p'} d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} + \frac{1}{k_j - k + 1} \sum_{l=k+1}^{k_j} \|E_l f - E_{l-1} f\|_\infty \\
 &\leq \left(\int_{A_j} E_{k_j} |f - E_{k_j} f|^{p'} d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} + \frac{1}{k_j - k + 1} \sum_{l=k+1}^{k_j} \|f\|_{\text{bmo}^d} \\
 &\lesssim \|f\|_{\text{bmo}^c} + \frac{k_j - k}{k_j - k + 1} \|f\|_{\text{bmo}^d} \\
 &\leq 2\|f\|_{\text{BMO}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

SECOND INCLUSION: $(H_{1,\rho}^{\text{atb}})^* \subseteq \text{BMO}$

Need of an additional tool:

DEFINITION

Let $\Sigma_1 \subset \Sigma_2$ be σ -algebras, and X a Σ_2 -measurable r.v. Y is a *conditional median* of X w.r.t Σ_1 if:

- Y is Σ_1 -measurable.
- $\forall A \in \Sigma_1,$

$$\mu(A \cap \{X > Y\}) \leq \frac{1}{2}\mu(A) \geq \mu(A \cap \{X < Y\}).$$

SECOND INCLUSION: $(H_{1,p}^{\text{atb}})^* \subseteq \text{BMO}$

Need of an additional tool:

DEFINITION

Let $\Sigma_1 \subset \Sigma_2$ be σ -algebras, and X a Σ_2 -measurable r.v. Y is a *conditional median* of X w.r.t Σ_1 if:

- Y is Σ_1 -measurable.
- $\forall A \in \Sigma_1,$

$$\mu(A \cap \{X > Y\}) \leq \frac{1}{2}\mu(A) \geq \mu(A \cap \{X < Y\}).$$

Remark: Y is a conditional median of X iff

$$E(|X - Y|) = \inf_{G \text{ } \Sigma_1\text{-measurable}} E(|X - G|).$$

THEOREM (TOMKINS, '75)

Given a probability space (Ω, Σ, μ) and a r.v. X , there exists at least one conditional median Y of X w.r.t. any σ -algebra $\Sigma' \subset \Sigma$.

Given f , denote any conditional median of f w.r.t Σ_k by $\alpha_k f$.

THEOREM (TOMKINS, '75)

Given a probability space (Ω, Σ, μ) and a r.v. X , there exists at least one conditional median Y of X w.r.t. any σ -algebra $\Sigma' \subset \Sigma$.

Given f , denote any conditional median of f w.r.t Σ_k by $\alpha_k f$.

DEFINITION

$$\|f\|_{\circ} := \max \left\{ \sup_k \|E_k f - \alpha_k f\|_{\infty}^{p'}, \sup_k \|\alpha_k f - \alpha_{k-1} f\|_{\infty} \right\}$$

The norm $\|\cdot\|_{\circ}$ does not depend on the election of conditional median.

It is easy to check:

$$\|f\|_{\text{BMO}} \lesssim \|f\|_0.$$

It is easy to check:

$$\|f\|_{\text{BMO}} \lesssim \|f\|_{\circ}.$$

Now use properties of α_k to estimate

$$\|f\|_{\circ} \lesssim \|L_f\|_{H_{1,p}^{\text{atb}}}.$$

□

MOTIVATION (TOO LATE!)

It is classical that

$$H_1(\mathbb{R}^n, dx) = \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} H_1^j(\mathbb{R}^n, dx).$$

MOTIVATION (TOO LATE!)

It is classical that

$$H_1(\mathbb{R}^n, dx) = \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} H_1^j(\mathbb{R}^n, dx).$$

This is dual to

$$\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n, dx) = \bigcap_{j=1}^{n+1} \text{BMO}^j(\mathbb{R}^n, dx).$$

(Garnett-Jones, Mei...).

Question: Do the previous equalities hold if we exchange dx by another measure μ ?

Question: Do the previous equalities hold if we exchange dx by another measure μ ?

- Yes, if μ is doubling.

Question: Do the previous equalities hold if we exchange dx by another measure μ ?

- Yes, if μ is doubling. Reason: H_1^j can be interpreted as a martingale space and it coincides with h_1^j .

Question: Do the previous equalities hold if we exchange dx by another measure μ ?

- Yes, if μ is doubling. Reason: H_1^j can be interpreted as a martingale space and it coincides with h_1^j .
- No, in general.

If μ is of polynomial growth (i.e. $\mu(B(x, R)) \leq C_\mu R^k$, $k \leq n$), then one can define adapted spaces of BMO and H_1 type (Tolsa):

If μ is of polynomial growth (i.e. $\mu(B(x, R)) \leq C_\mu R^k$, $k \leq n$), then one can define adapted spaces of BMO and H_1 type (Tolsa): $f \in \text{RBMO}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mu)$ if

$$\|f\|_{\text{RBMO}} = \max(\|f\|_{\text{RBMO}^c}, \|f\|_{\text{RBMO}^d}) < \infty,$$

If μ is of polynomial growth (i.e. $\mu(B(x, R)) \leq C_\mu R^k$, $k \leq n$), then one can define adapted spaces of BMO and H_1 type (Tolsa): $f \in \text{RBMO}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mu)$ if

$$\|f\|_{\text{RBMO}} = \max(\|f\|_{\text{RBMO}^c}, \|f\|_{\text{RBMO}^d}) < \infty,$$

where

$$\|f\|_{\text{RBMO}^c} = \sup_{Q \text{ doubling cube}} \left(\int_Q \left| f - \int_Q f d\mu \right|^2 d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

$$\|f\|_{\text{RBMO}^d} = \sup_{Q \subset R \text{ and } Q, R \text{ doubling}} \left| \int_Q f d\mu - \int_R f d\mu \right|$$

Predual of RBMO: space of atomic blocks in \mathbb{R}^n .

Predual of RBMO: space of atomic blocks in \mathbb{R}^n . We can interpret RBMO^d and RBMO^c as the intersection of martingale spaces:

Predual of RBMO: space of atomic blocks in \mathbb{R}^n . We can interpret RBMO^d and RBMO^c as the intersection of martingale spaces:

LEMMA (C., PARCET)

$$\text{RBMO}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mu) = \bigcap_{j=1}^{n+1} \text{RBMO}^j(\mathbb{R}^n, \mu).$$

(with RBMO^j appropriately defined)

Predual of RBMO: space of atomic blocks in \mathbb{R}^n . We can interpret RBMO^d and RBMO^c as the intersection of martingale spaces:

LEMMA (C., PARCET)

$$\text{RBMO}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mu) = \bigcap_{j=1}^{n+1} \text{RBMO}^j(\mathbb{R}^n, \mu).$$

(with RBMO^j appropriately defined)

Remark: The result is true for measures which are not of polynomial growth.

Thank you!